IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventors: Kenneth F. Buechler Group Art Unit: 1797

Serial Number: 10/697,351 Examiner: Lyle Alexander

Filing Date: 10-29-2003 CONFIRMATION NO: 7522

Title: DIAGNOSTIC DEVICES AND

APPARATUS FOR THE CONTROLLED MOVEMENT OF REAGENTS WITHOUT

MEMBRANES

FILED ELECTRONICALLY ON: FEBRUARY 5, 2010

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

$\frac{\text{REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT}}{\text{ΔND}}$

APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1,705

Sir or Madam:

Applicant(s) hereby requests(s) that the Office reconsider the Patent Term Adjustment calculated for this application and adjust the Patent Term Adjustment determination for this application.

This request is made AFTER the date of the Notice of Allowance and is filed ON or BEFORE payment of the issue fee;

--OR--

This request is made within TWO months from the date of issuance of the patent and is accompanied by a Request for a Certificate of Correction.

adjustment are a	s follows:
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(1). The Office is required to mail at least one of a notification under 35 U.S.C. §132 or a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. §151 not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. §111(a) or fulfilled the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §371 in an international application:
	The date on which the application was filed:
	The 14 month date on which the Office was to issue an Office Action or Notice of Allowance
	The actual date on which the first Office Action or Notice of Allowance was mailed
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37.C.F.R. $§1.702(a)/2$). The Office is required to respond to a reply under 35 U.S.C. §132 or to an appeal taken under 35 U.S.C. § 134 not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the reply was filed or appeal was taken:
	Reply
	The date of applicant's response to notice of rejection:
	4 months from the date of response to the notice of rejection
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	Appeal
	The date on which Applicant's filed completed Appeal Brief:
	4 months from the date of the appeal brief
	The actual date on which the Examiner's Answer or Notice of Allowance was mailed
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated above.
	37 C.F.R. \$1.702(a)(3). The Office is required act on an application not later than <u>four months</u> after the date of a decision by the BPAI, or a decision by a Federal court where at least one allowable claim remains in the application:
	The date of decision allowing at least one claim by the BPAI or Federal Court
	4 months from the date of decision
	The actual date on which the Office replied
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrated

	37 C.F.R. §1.702(a)(3). The Office is required to issue a patent not later than <u>four months</u> after the date on which the issue fee was paid under 35 U.S.C. §151:	
	The date applicant paid issue fee:	
	4 months from the date of issue fee payment	
	The actual date on which the patent issued	
	The difference for which Applicant should received eredit	
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrate above.	
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(b). The Office is required to issue a patent within three years from the actual filing date of the application:	
	The date on which the application was filed	
	3 years from the date the application was filed	
	The date upon which the patent issued	
	The difference for which Applicant should received eredit	
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrate above.	
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(c). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance was delayed due to interference proceedings.	
	The date upon which the interference was declared	
	The date upon which the interference ended	
	The difference for which Applicant should received eredit	
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrate above.	
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(d). The Office is required to adjust the term of an original patent if the issuance of the patent was delayed due to the application being placed under a secrecy order.	
	The date upon which the secrecy order was issued	
	The date upon which the secreey order was removed	
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit	
	The Office credited Applicant days, when it should have credited days, as illustrate above.	
	37 C.F.R. §1.702(e). The Office is required to adjust the term of the original patent if the issuance was delayed to review by the BPAI or Federal court, if the decision reversed an adverse determination of patentability.	
	The date upon which the application was appealed to the BPAI or Federal Court	
	The date upon which the BPAI or Federal Court issued a decision reversing an adverse determination of patentability	
	The difference for which Applicant should received credit	
	The Office eredited Applicant days, when it should have eredited days, as illustrate above.	
	37 C.F.R. §1.704. The Office is entitled to adjust the sum of the patent term adjustment for Applicant delay. Applicant believes that the Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.	

Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 (650) 493-9300 Customer No. 021971				
650 Pa	ge Mill	Road	Mark D. McNemar, Esq., Ph.D. Reg. No. 64,904	
Dated:	Februa	ary 5, 2010	By: W. D. Walkery Fr. Ph. D.	
			WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI	
			Respectfully submitted,	
Fee Authorization. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge \$1.18(e) of \$200.00 and charge any additional fees or credit any over communication to Deposit Account No. 23-2415 (Docket No. 36671-			r credit any overpayment associated with this	
		Thus, applicant should be credited day term for applicant's delay.	s which were erroneously deducted from the patent	
		§1.704(d) that each item of information con	int dated was accompanied by a statement under tained in the statement was first cited in a in a counterpart application less than 30 days prior to	
		37 C.F.R. §1.704(d). The Office is entitled Applicant delay:	o reduce the period of patent term adjustment for	
		37 C.F.R. §1.704(c)(10). The period for adjustment for a submission under §1.312, or other paper, after the notice of allowance, is the lesser of the number of days beginning on the date of the amendment, or other paper, under §1.312 and ending on the mailing date of the Office action or notice in response to the amendment or such other paper, or four months. In this instance, the submission under §1.312, or other paper, was mailed and the Office action or notice was mailed Therefore the amount of time deducted should be, not as calculated by the Office. Applicants request a credit of days.		
		Applicant believes that the Office erroneou Information Disclosure Statement filed on Applicant believes that this deduction shoul	sly deducteddays for Applicant delay based on theafter the Amendment filed on October 1, 2008. d bc adjusted todays.	
			djust the sum of the patent term adjustment for e Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.	
		Request for Continued Examination (RCE) 2008. It appears that 316 days is the time 2007 and the RCE filed August 5, 2009.	sly deducted 316 days for Applicant delay based on the and Information Disclosure Statement filed August 5, period between the Reply Brief filed on September 24, However, Applicant believes that no deduction for requests that this deduction be adjusted to 0 days.	
	\boxtimes		djust the sum of the patent term adjustment for e Office incorrectly deducted patent term adjustment.	
		; however, a complete response was deduction should be adjusted to days	not filed until Applicant believes that this	

Applicant believes that the Office erroneously deducted days for Applicant delay based on the

-4-